

Concerning my Case:

28th . April . 2023

Rosary - This Proves I am a Human Being

Paris [s.] Miles Brenden - 517-21-1419 - 12/29/1982

Dear Mr. President, Associates, and Kamala Harris (VP),

I have understood that you keep a roaming, - so to speak - lexicographical numerical code of the two pillar(s) of an axiom of choice.

I would merely recommend that the two pillar(s) identify with the schedule of a measure, in scale measurability, for of a 'point' for which the frustrated measure 'through' in a point and a point, utilitarianly provides for a 'black/white measure' in color recess.

That this, when removed, removes the 'cleft/straight' of two in principle... for of one under-removability, a certainty comparative to a measure and removed measure, and that of point and point, for in balanced-bar, a six within four, standard for in the dual-prongs.

That of this test assumptive is graphical, and roaming, and distributive, and remote, deniable, and processable by a computer through algorithmic mean(s) preventative of a 9/11.

November 27th, 2022 : 05:35:00-:05 - 07:12:50 am

For in enumerated [code] and reflux-symptomological dual-deficit of countable *alias*, I had been deprived of a due-process, in eliminated (4-card) [countable] illimination, from which the discounted for-fallow entreatment had pinged to a sojourned deficit in Anonymity.

Thus, I am a victim of an alias/numerical overtype stylus, of rote pen and tap trace act, the actionable intention that I am without* reproof of status to an alabai, without consideration of alias-portention and rate addendum of cross-diagonal pliancy to one's innocence under probable cause ruling.

* See N.K. Proof of Fingerprinting Age 4-6: First Crime: Documented: Stealing Condoms: Ruled Incompetent

Addendum I:

October 24th, 2022

4:12 pm

I hold - full - supplication of a foretold* affidavit, that I am sound of mind, body, and heart,... then that reason alone [for in it's inalienable consideration and determination] supports with conviction these.

I hold that the truth is not told, but known, and that the defensible trust of an inhaled truth remains verifiable by scientific standard and it's open view of speculation, - that we are indeed witnesses unto what is to come, and that within the mindset of all certain validities, it is the defensitration of propriety to which loss is of a view at it's inevitability, unto which I have not been the defendent, but of the perplexion by which my accorded naturalized and truths of genera would dissimolate what is to be a purview at guilt for in the trust of a lawful inheritance and providence, - that it is we who provide of what is to come, and of a society that demands corrective features [which I fully embrace and accept] I am held unto no master but self, but provided with the lawful inheritance that I may be justly judged among my peers, and that furthermore I am equipped with the means of a defensible trust, position, and of all accord to counterable opponency, to take my own naturalized life, seek death, and provide for the life of another, from man to man and woman to her likeness, without provision of what is prohibitive.

I had not obtained records of offense* by which I am so judged, and of sound mind body and heart, I am equated with the unprovided and judged, privileged, and defended for, those without means of a defense, and unprovided but of offensive positioning of a truth to which it is held I am judged for in my surrounding context and of it's characteristic. I have harmed no one, and am unprovided the council I seek for that of a gubernatorial licencse, afflictive affront of my naturalized heritage, and that of subscription to views on race and sexual orientation I do not [and concur disagree] with. For that of the imanagerial relationship, it is my belief (of the past), that the law would not recover. This however is false, and I may be judged, however it is not of acclaim to which I had been held of silence and a provided witness, for in managerial relationship of psychological immutability of bodily language. I had not downloaded pedophillic material, and yet hold no persuasion to judge individuals in this era for such actions, but of consistency with a carefully worded language and comprehension that we hold as inalienable open differences, for which I do not share a consistent viewpoint, then being verily undecided of the sufferagibility of sexual relationship. I repeat that I had harmed no one, and do not deserve a cruel and unusual punishment for my alignment of belief, and must be judged for that of which would be soon diagonalized, dueternomic color blindness.

I believe that the states ordnance, for what had I had been [under persuasion of a sound mind and heart and body] unaccompanied in witnessing (animated pornography), but was for in light of caught unguarded and off guard.

2:05 am

Of [one-two], of 2x-1x, the (0/1) and (0/1.0), for in trail-non-addendi, of web-locale (index), inherited from A.G. and Cali (state-state) we have a (1-5) and (15), to *locale* in provided city-city, of jurisdictional TCP/IP, heritage, thus that a 'Y' and a 'J' - sometimes vowel is dual... A.G.

I.) I was forced to forfeiture of my evidentiary relationship to goods and services, in violation of my 14th ammendment, in a tip-for-tap, on that of discounted inalienability of right in standing.

II.) Therefore, it is not pro-beneficiary to my status to which a plea in the fifth (5th) ammendment would assort to a scaffold in sentiment to industry at liability in another, then, presumed at large.

III.) For in the abhorrence of a detail to commission, the surplus of one addendi, on that of numerical overtyping, does not satisfy the paradological structure of discovery under probable cause.

a.) Therefore it was a violation of my due process.

b.) The inalienability was a suggestion at Schizophrenic diagnosis.

c.) To the proof in statii, and datum, the diagonal, is pro-benefit by which it is reasoned the complicit outweighted immunity of doctrine proves diseffective where[in] a life is of due consideration.

IV.) Per O., it is untimely that the providential arrow by which I would be afforded case-preclusion, had not been considered in-isolable conditional sets of assumption. That the net-net addendum, proves to spot inconsolable agencies of which I befell for that of expectation on Sentient and non-Sentient technological progression, for in black-box.

*

Thus, had I [thusly 'my machine'] *had* acted as an Oracle, I would not be of an Orator, to a

countability in that of one among a limb and nation, - that this persuades that I had been victim of an embargoable defeat in foreign entreatment of another victim of cross-pliance, and ignorable license to sound state of mind, conditioned via a law, to that of pro-benefit in immunity doctrinable truth, for in that of teir and teir-selective windowing function.

Therefore, it had been complicit, the evidentiary truth of another(s) guilt, under which via-persuasive element of evidentiary truth, we frustrate for which it is not my itemized datii or datum, to alabai. Thus, the evidence of absence is indicated for in the salvagement by which me and O., representationally may secure disambiguous odd(s) of ajurisprudential truth in cross-diagonality, and international embargoable fair-trade.

November 27th, 2022

9:31 am - To be concluded 08:55:55 [abs. timing -:50:00]

For in a semi-bold, that of contexture, for in fallow-end, is of a 'tap' to a 'die' upon which *either* is a laid-low, in hammer. Thus, of expident, taken in this context, it is to timing in rote-wind of the Moon and Earth and Sun, - that summative nature in either, for of coded entry and exit, had-been of a defenestrated rub on the alliance of another, for in their(s) of one and one, then, when, it is rubber to gromit of slip-gear and rail.

Thus, of two individuated time-spans, these of one in either are a four to a third, from which two are in interior locus of eventual outside dialectic in half-lesser-measure, and greater yet the naturalized maximum among an infimum, to spatiotemporal locus.

Of it's opposition, - that of two total(s) in-either a second apart by appearances are some two hour(s) in either to that of defenestration in orchestrated schema, to which in either, that of one laid low may be *neither* post effective to a 'pause' - from which it is reasoned that the second alias, from which is assorted, had complicitly related to that of the case struct, in structure, - to which is two total(s) upon check(s) and balances, for in open rear-entry, upon **another**.

Thus our [*the*] aim is five-fold:

- a.) To illustrate that of second peer and peer, that of either and either are guilty for in a third-outside, of exitable syndrome from the premissis.... and the local is disjunctive.
- b.) To illustrate that the alabai if and only if there are three of intimacy to that of communicative via would be correlates in either and either from which it is inherited that if and only if one is innocent is one judged by one's peer(s) under amendment.

- c.) That of problematic espionage in that of codex, had been retrofitted post or active of it's live-time upon the serialization to a database in lock-down, - that of assortment of which effectually is a cause outside* that of the consortium to disclosure of case.
- d.) That of which had been pressed, had been disclosure by which one was prolisted to that of evidentiary trail of evidentiary status to entrapment by which another would be placated of assorted rear-entry, that of confluence of degree upon which a prior major stroke injurously plead to the benefit of accusational party in that of case priority upon that of preparatory mean - incurrence by fault or intentional design foreign or national, state, or a plead official of law, predicated upon violation of probable cause.
- e.) That of evidence missing, was not the typifier to event status in killboot*, but was the swap space of an NTFS and FAT32, and therefore the liability to a cabled parity check swap checksum, that of two and a third to Rx and Tx, under locale, within privilege to non defenistration of hardware equipment, the demonstration that I still* possess this equipment, but not that of the touchscreen, but the original Runge-Kutta code within the timeline and to the strictly founded alabai, in bearing, for in that of complicit agency of another two and two, or three, to card counting preceptual basis of test invalidity upon but yet a fourth* (4th), on that of probable cause, duress, invalidating the warrant and sworn oath of the officer, for in that of timetable, and that of physical/lawful preceptual basis in that of honorability of guardianship, that of states ajournment and federal right.

November 27th, 2022

10:56 am

V.) A 'toplinear' isomorphism [one in which these entries are indexed columnary and of $\{(P,0),(Q,B)$ in row-column; *and to be not-confused* with a diagonal in identities*], for in impediment to releaseable obstruction is a form of baiting a character *unto entrapment*, - then of parity-noise locability, and or the codification that under eliminable-tense for in hardware-hardware visa is proof of both:

- a.) That of usb-drive from a foreign locale.
- b.) That of character-snub.
- c.) That of iconography of literal 'character typeface counterfactual'.
- d.) That of pliability of rote-assortment to another cross-beneficiary to two rouge's.

e.) That of pliability to nexus of informancy, to upstream Tx, transmit.

1.) Hence in-either dual-occlusion on that of upstream carrier, that of the parity checksum would be entreated unto defensibility of alter-alialy non-involvement upon that of character-table assignment, for in that of (toplinear)-defective eigenspace of a rote-command. Thus of numeric identity, - **and** - indication of direct copy matesmanship of wrongful orchestration of evidentiary collection post-effective, for in benefit, for in discounted truthfulness, on that of serial serial without checksum.*

2.) Thus, Tx, would without Rx, of one carrier-bit, encode that of a (0,0) in non-projective basis at that of nullified exponential adjoint encode-decode protocol, for instance, RAW datatype, of which point(s) [by the above] to indication in form from which matches, but for the reason that it is inhered post effective.

3.) That of the photograph [spallation] of a developed photograph admits some timing to develop - no more enhanced than that of difficulty of departure once the eyelet is opened, - then of an absent room, prior the justification in the first developed color photography [Maxwell] - thus that color must be proven to develop prior a noise contrast for in the eye.

November 27th, 2022

12:10 pm

To *discernability* *All pliant ordinances fall under the auspices of the following:

- a.) Encouraged, readied, but not codified,.... of backward-via, to pliancy.
- b.) Third-out, from which (a.) is NSA member, of rate-addendi on recipiency.
- c.) First-in, from which I had been entered, of pro-lectum, for in lantern.

Thus (b.) asks (c.) of that of alabai, it had not been entered, thus of inward and outward, that of random assortment is german to automatic timing in gear*, priority advance, to which (a.) assort(s) of (b.) and (c.) as to alabai, it had been of the german timeliness.

That of (a.) and (b.) and (c.) therefrom entreat by that of the policy in-keeping, that it had not been another [to which is directed innocence] - but of the (2x vs. 1x) it is the element by which *either*, are in (b.), of randomness equivalently weighted with quality signal on Tx and Rx, - thus of that of abridged utility* - in that of sojourned clause, neither* had (of (a.) and (b.) - pro-lectum advantaged, for of clarity of dispossessive, - thus that nothing was accrued, tossed, or remainder of entreatment to it's pieces remaining, - for of the *either-accural, - it had been of a solidification by which (a.), had

plead insurgence pre-emptively, upon (b.), and (c.) had answered, admitting to entrance, - thus that the windowed function was admitted, to which either-Tx or Rx, had been encoded for in SDR.

That of which, in-either reciprocity or transmission had been the Tx and Rx on router-gate, for in that of pinnacle function, - then that given purchase of SDR, the camera had noted of that of the image/digraph of that of a Chess-game prior that of the Touchscreen, and a timestamp rendered in that of an-image obtained, clear the deck.

Thus that the Touchscreen was prior the accusation, but it had been year(s) since that of initial assurances, - thus that they were empty.

Then we need a rule of forensics and proper evidentiary handling.

Thus it is an impossibility that (c.) had lied of that of (b.) to which (a.) remained of a plea, but was guilty, thus making the difference of that of an elemental rogue and an assailant, foreign or national.

I also - as it were - must test the device to see if it is quantum, and feature the result of the [if feasible] result of command-effective on correlate.

Hypothesis: Somehow - is it possible that the 0/1 and 0#1, co-determined that of gates of the AD8302, for in that of the qubit with $\log(\sqrt{2})$ entropy?

Hypothesis: Somehow - is it possible that the abridgement had been of days and years, for in that of Indiana, all the way to Albuquerque, - what explains the 'leapt town'?

I will need a preliminary step and stage at the device - so now Real Pressure has-hit..... that I need illustrate that I had been at-home or afar, but the machine did nothing unaccountable for in that of absence.... Or if this proves to be defective logic, if it somehow excuses me outside that of my apartment or - anywhere - but exonerated. It appears the only way to proceed is in the lemma of locus of technological entreatment, and command.

For there is me, there is Obama of which elementally comes down to a juncture in life and death - that he inhere(s) in me for of what I secure to self, - I am empty handed, for of that of a fallacy - that I cannot adjourn of the freed self-defensibility. That to the left, nothing had gone to the right [a free assumptive] - and of the right, it was from privilege to that of the handedness to which in-either I am not without mean(s) for in that of holding a USB, and of that of naked truth-that a day had gone by without means. Thus, that I am adjourned for in that of formative truth, - random of one handed truth may as well as be in-either, - that it would account by the double-slit.

November 27th, 2022

02:07 am

For in that of 2:07 it is: {1.0:0.1.1.1}, thus of incremental dias on that of identifying mean, and if that of an incomplete determination for in coded-entry does not exemplify, it should not be a comparative. Thus, discovery post-benefit to the 'unabridged' violates due-process, in short, for of a /| and _ of a discovery rule in-blind.

Addendum II

I.) That of introduction(s) naturalize to which one once crosses a remark at territorial division, or, perhap(s) in thirds. That of twice, is the entreaty to which is a given crossing and back. Thus, if I am not naturalized [for in moving in] - I am once the enterable plea to double jeopardy per residential accounting of promise.

a.) That of entreaty for of what is jurisdictional overlap, is non-interpenetrative.

b.) The exit symbolism is that effectively a cross-section in arc-tine is a relationship of 4π , to sectional curvature.

II.) When it is accounted that the 'photograph' had been intimated upon a gesture, it is twice to the occasion of a Tx and Rx, for in relief of origination, thus that any evidentiary support is at least a reclaiming of artifact.

a.) An artifact free, or replaced issuance is the guidance to which once relief or third, is it's given dissuasion from another intimation, that of digital heirarchy, to which is twice on three.

b.) Thus in a 'W'; the split-even mesh is a completion in dias for what is reproachable, under it's entitled given replacement, without which there is no codification of centralized but second agency.

c.) That of dispersuasion is offered when one enters and another enter(s), and that of two rotate for in an algebraic 0101, or similar. Thus, that of offense remark on what is discontinued, must remain a 'WU', for of intimation, under cross combinatorial.

d.) Therefore, that of binary sketch, for in a relief free photograph and alabai, must relate to a sketch of policy for which entrance and exit intimate a relationship of relief unto the machine and individual.

e.) That of the guidance to which is another, is therefore the cross-distinctual of the elimination of another [when present] - and that of the dual elimination of computer and individual, but, is merely the confluence of counterfactual variables of the individual, for which is not a factual support in overlap of a timeline of another.

f.) The refutation of the 'other' is therefore for of what is the refutation of the 'self' - the counteraliency by which it is known there is evidentiary surplus locable within a relationship of evidentiary detection, when it is presumed for failure, that it is unnotified of advance.

III.) When it is known there is another or not, it is therefore the provided that there is a 'U' to self, of which procures innocence, when it is also known that there is an element in cross-persuasive replaceability.

a.) Therefrom, of which is guided, it cannot be guaranteed that the (2x) of one relationship is guidance for in another, to this supportive exception.

b.) The counter-effective is therefore the assignment of a '1' to anonymous relationship of which in replacement a '0' is it's given for in remnant of another, such as a DNA sample.

c.) That this does not earmark the relationship by which we drop the fifth ammendment, there is the second, by which when it is occassioned that another is forwarded, it is the single cross-confirmative of one's unassociable plea, however, it must be of a manual overtyp.

d.) Given the warrant included a false-alias, and is as strong evidentiary support as that of the '1' in remnant of a transaction in relationship to a peer-addressable '1' of which is an indication of forwarding, - that of the cross-benefit of the doubt is to the defendent.

e.) By subparagraph II.) [above] it is witnessed that the indication is of non-observation to direct oversight, and observation and introduction by an 'additional' false-agency, indicating a 'tampering and replacement' - via what is a FAT/NTFS dialog.

IV.) Therefore for what is inevitable, a parity check [broken line] may upon Tx still-transmit identify but by what is an Rx under transcriptive valuation. Thus, the indication of a failed FAT/NTFS and power system is the indication of a replaced component visa-via that of a shared internet connection without failsafe.

a.) This is the indication of a spoofed failsafe on that of the address filetable headspace, or an idemnifying character.

b.) The inclusion of one intimated alabai is then as simple as:

- 1.) A forwarding address (PO Box).
- 2.) A shared internet connection and SDR.
- 3.) A forwarding IP address [limewire]...
- 4.) An anonymous reporting of mark.
- 5.) A physical FAT/NTFS parity error.
- 6.) That of review basis unacknowledging others.
- 7.) That of a manual entry of hexedit.
- 8.) Sojournship to a foreign locability.
- 9.) The replacement of an identity.
- 10.) Fault free tolerance of the nature of test.

V.) We conclude, the defaulted position (when re-consolidated) of an ACID differ(s) from that of a BASE-ACID reactivity upon ENTROPY.

Addendum III

Dear Secretary of State, Department Heads, and our new Justice of the Peace: Supreme Court Appointee: Amy Coney Barrett

March 7th, 2021

As is non-counter propositional to law, my fear is that abortion (to be taken distinctly as an issue from the action) is of told (and untold stories) a taxing, potentially defacing, and rudimentary or primitively biased consideration of violence unto a child.

The issue of parlence and privilege then, to dis-entangle, is akin to a 'Breaking and Entering', although this rudimentarily over-simplifies, contorts, and relates to the implausibility of recovery of the issue at stance, that it is comparable to arson in physical deficit.

It may play to the court that the issue of Sodomy and Rape set aside privilege one to make a conscious choice, but the validity of the woman's counterclaim that a pregnancy is over-simplified when there are many as it were issues of modesty and maturity, although, then, to a digression, it should be understood their claim is within the boundaries in my opinion of merit.

I intend to deliver marked argument counter the proposition of explicitly what-remains of Roe v. Wade for the reality is that is a form in my opinion of violence to an unborn and capable human being beyond the first trimester; then that of the miracle of conception, of which without contortion we must not lightly deny.

To be straight, my religious* element tell's me to persuade you to diligently adopt a moral and ethical precept of neutral ground and repose; from where it is determined that **we** indeed have made the correct choice[s] on behalf of the Mother and the yet-conceived unborn child, which for it's plausibility of unique* genetics does stand as an individual prior the concept of brainwave.

For as I would agree with many of the Scientific Development's of the latter-half of the 21st century we are no longer identical with animals; and it is known; via-DNA that life begins at conception; that of when a Spermatazoa penetrates a ready Ovum to produce a Zygote. If however, to make a point, that of the relation is malformed and would not develop into a mature adult (ectopic pregnancy) there is a familiarity of preventative care first to instruct.

Primarily, I would leave the declaration's of other's to their peaceable assembly of rest or unrest; and go with the 'intuition' - that - in fact it is dictated and dileneated there need be a common moral ethic in place for that of a Modern World. Therefore, it cannot be set aside but to an 'ascending' court system, the provocation within me that say's that to persuade a Supreme Court to issue a declaration that can be set to standard, it is potentially an 'incompatible' issue with that of centralization.

With so many preventative therapies and routine procedures available (prophelactics included) it is with disdain that in-the-untimely we must be met with the stern issue of abortion and on it's conjugate side, the Right to Life, in part to admission *that the counter-point is a demand on an old and new system. I feel it is readied that we make preparations for what life may offer, and owe to it our best, hence the disdain with which I offer my remark that we must in some nature admit a full relation of mean's unto life in being, for they have the established embodiment, and neither so is a parental figure the adoption of yet-a-further womb from which they-came. Hence it is so that the *unlimited notion of preparedness establishes the right to cease a pregnancy, and Roe. v Wade came-close, but disestablished, there are too-many ill afforded relation(s) to maintain security under all guidances but for time to be 'on our side' - as in itimation to *generations* upon which We the People are established. Thus each* case requires close scrutiny everything considered. What I will sketch is a brief blue-print.

That being said; it is per my understanding; for example; were I alone to impregnate a woman she would carry through a term co-adoptive with the routine scheduling of a manner of disposition of article unto medical advice and privelege of adequate care.

First and foremost there is the following example: An ailing Obama is near death, and could die, us being left with a secondary contingency upon which a factual presentment is based (and intended to be unbiased) - and yet for the carriage of the baby such an argument would extend beyond its measure with a noticable 'reset' to the undue passing of our Ex-President Obama for in light of computerized nomination of peer's to contingency inter-alially (the Rod being passed in the Olympian Race). With an issue such as this prior to the scheduling of a printing of a certain calendar for example; a holiday even so may have changed in its routunda; then that we cannot even so as guarantee his seat is filled to a game-theoretic routine or passing notice on Ancestry.com. Admittedly, margin(s) are not so-tight, but we live in a Westernized world with many carriages, but there is the physical embodiment of an individual; to which with allocation, at the extreme of a controlled (not necessarily subdued) public - an assortment and reassortment takes place to the eventuals of ordered sanctimony. So it is with birth; whether a female or a male; these accord as with what may be noted as of a neutral category, in addition, the biological condition of suspended animation is homeostatically compatible with a computerized system *as we know-it, but nations have experimented with *cloning, thus there are contributor's to the genelogical scheduling and pool which under some relations even so as outweigh what is noted preliminarily or are over-looked. An example follows yet later.

It is therefore venturesome that I would stand on the side unto a conditional* counter of Roe v. Wade; despite religious and other non-political biases as to support these women in every way; but grant that unless it is a certain* risk to her health she should be denied a guaranteed right to termination of a living fetus beyond the first four weeks of pregnancy.

It is my belief in the case of Rape, the standard issue is that it is of undue course to what prevails in honest intimation, and thus it is to a fault the dividing line; - that she should be offered a means of reconciliation and if it proves that she holds an inscrutable word for these fellow's there be an exception.

It is my hope that with your wisdom you will see how clearly I mean to cleave the relation of termination in hopes that *for the informed woman, a rapid choice is made by her and her partner. The dichotomy here(in) indicated is that of physiological risk and psychological understanding. In the way side that a woman is merely to young to rear a child, the consideration is simple, then that we cannot base things on the eventual aside (that a baby would not make it to term) - and side with the option that they are unprepared to be an adequate mother (on the side of what lay's an equivalent grounding between woman and child).

In the course of Rape; termination in my ideological stance should be granted; but with the concern that it should be discouraged for what is terminally a heavy introduction of cost to the Mother in terms of damage to her utereus and the plausibility of carrying a yet-unborn or conceived child to

term yet later in life; etc...

I cannot imagine my ignorance of female health; but I would stand counter the proposition that this child would be neglected if the woman did not cede with that of a man, proving they are of a compatible relation as to being a mother. Each mother in my opinion following from relations that have to do with biochemistry such as *Oxytocin released feels a bond with the child - and too for as-we-would-hope* the father, but then it is that the Father is not an inconsolable party.

So it is in the display of affection and positive empathy for a child yet born that a Mother should be checked very preliminarily as to if they wish to maintain a birth-cycle, so that *were an abortion to occur, it would occur in at least the initial first four to six weeks at most; the capacity to buffer a relation; fillable zone's of the locale and Ancestry.com or allocations (as in a data-runtime) for privilege to excess (ala a Fillibuster) - for in that of innovative treatment, and a potential abeyance and extension.

If one is to 'rotate' through this nature of calenderia that of what is noted is that the 'zone' of a potential is the unexpected at yet the potential* of life, or even so the potential death of the mother; thus it is a side-line to question either remotely or unto a locale if abortion is a solution*; or unto dis-unitary opposition to life or proponency of resolution counter the lemma of a man or woman. To recollect is that two parties contribute to conception.

I must say personally if it were my wife; I would want entire means available to her for the preservation of her life, thus that we cannot wherein death is a risk pose this form of 'undue hardship or that of unusual punishment'; but as it is customary; I would be precautious from beginning, with my hope that they see such a thing as in physical form a miracle, although from my vantage without being hypocritical; it is their body entirely, and to all persuasions we must entitle individuated choices.*

Thus I am in a bind of that of creation and earnestness to a Modern Ethic, without a genuine solution to suit nearly but all measures, for, (as I understand a removable pliant) - the upstream guarantee of 'relation' to the Father is one not forbidding of complication; to speak of a sensible balance.

Therefore it is that a woman inherit's this right from her Father as much as her Mother; but as per my reading of the KJV Holy Bible; is not so much to engage in the action of actually harming a human* life that such a thing as abortion be intended or guaranteed as-a-right. Thus it is if we wish to exonerate the woman, she is guiltless, but to follow suit, that of the undue harm to life beyond the first trimester; or to a tear, in the second, must remain in principle the conjoint decision of mother and father and mother [alone] unto a balanced 'test-assumptive'.

If you could provide sources by which it is declared a reproducible agent such as an ovum classifies as 'Human' - and no - I do not mean brainwaves; but a Heart - I would like to see precisely when this occurrence takes place, - studies are not inadequate, but to the terms that the unborn does not survive outside the womb, there is the placation that we-know that does not serve as a meritorious survivability.

Perhaps it would be important to educate the populace on this very topic, and of sex-Ed; so that we may be somewhat attuned to 'the planned element and the modest', and set about arranging and keeping our lives with purpose and dignity despite the chance detail at issues of life and death. I would additionally like to see less popularization of the degenerate pedophilic and murder/passionate crime and rape culture of our society, because I believe although not-fact, it leads to mistaken assumptions in the public. Once violence is legitimized the wrong choice is often presented and made, that at each turn of events for abortion the catered notion of conjoint male-female-baby should be kept in mind.

Indeed; there is something modest of that of the control measure on Roe v. Wade, and if opted as a Judge I would quell disdain but attempt to negotiate a practice whereby an earlier choice is made on behalf of a potential family; with due education to the woman's health. I will not cry so much for the feeble or the injured; life is also terminal; sometimes the choice comes down to privilege; but for most of much of what I know; many uncertainties are beautiful.

Then, there is the [concerted effort] to twine the relation of purveyable Fetus material with[in] that of the context of medical science. So it is I am convinced; that [post] an effective-treatment terminal with a Fetus unborn and separated from it's rightful Mother; there is evidence for a strong relevance to cures and principles of which do not deject one's medical declaration from it's rightful place among medical science.

As it is learned, it cannot be discarded that too - we learn something from abortion, but this is another issue almost separable from that of the consideration of the Mother's health and her welfare; for one is propitiatory the other prohibitional, and adjusted. Penultimately it is for whom as one of you to decide of the Justices of the Peace; but it is for our rightful plea in bearing to a three fold veto vote process; the acclimation of a tertiary check's and balances of established law among State's Right's and National Right's.

Hence it is in conclusion my opinion our system of Liberty and Law is unprovided of the basic root's necessary for that of a definitive conclusion on this synthetical status of law until there is that of intervention by a foreign nation or combination; the adoption of Washington D.C. as a state or that of the adoption of Cuba; or a very Powerful Presidential Process.

This relation has haunted us for decades; & it would be appealing to place it behind us; but of the

horror's of a world; the issue is a fifty and fifty division in equivalent part's of an entire class-unionable relation of sides collecting over measures, and violence committed (abortions clinic shootings) cannot persuade us to make anything but an unbiased decision.

Whatever the consequence; that of birth control therefore should remain an unalienable right; and in bearing; the right of a woman [perhaps] to class-action litigation to resolve her dilemma in a timely fashion. It is my final therefore consideration that the appeal to a lower class of court be introduced to settle any and all disputes of life and death under these open terms.

Secondly; admittance to allow termination of a pregnancy up-until the second trimester (inclusive) is a freed right to an individual woman, for she is an individual and it is unto her life when there is risk to death or that of the injurious notion of cross-dissimilarity of genomic database. In certain cases we must go solely on the word's of the Mother-to-be; *perhaps the Rapist is unidentified. In my opinion it would be crossing a barrier to force birth therefore.

This forbidding, the structure of guaranteeing access to a psychological assay and check is preventative in a cross-diagonal with symptomology if there is a complication (such as AIDS, MERS, etc... or an STD such as Herpes or Hepatitis) - which may make the pregnancy and life expectancy of the child in bearing a certain problem... even then unto the diagonal of alcoholism. Thus I would recommend that even-until in barring the third trimester; with little cost there could be an abortion if the woman's life were at risk or it were a rape; for in the forementionable entire-duration unto risk-to-life; then forbidding these extremes (involving counseling and due process) - the admission (explored) of placing the child up for adoption; or a certain limitation of 28 weeks standardized up to 42 weeks to consideration of the parental role to a diagonal assay...

For in that preventative risk assurance; it may be known that the genetic extrication of a child - if provable - could alter the woman in a certain way (menopause, etc... secondary processes of that of a living being born in the womb). Thus it is to insure of her health and the child that we must come to an agreement; that of brain-wave scans of primary utility; - but for what is known; a child potentially *via a risk's assessment - of pre-mature birth surviving; that of as early as 20 week's...

Thus it is of pre-mature birth that the question is asked; the limitation is served for in keeping* the woman's health - for she may reproduce yet later in life - and a fatherly opinion *undeprived but unto a Statute of Effect's... - then for in that of the functioning of a nervous system and developmental brain; her- 'virtual' standing of individual. If we are not to do the following; how else are we to gauge a newborn's life?

In fact, as we find; for in-bodies (of perhaps envisaged lover's) en-meshed in close-proximity (as with an ex-girlfriend) & as learned in neucleosynthesis under palpitation of an insect (with an [insect] heart - a parasite) overly close to it's-host [myself]; I learned to a harmful protocol (when

driven into empathy) - the collusion of two inverted frustrated collective aspirations proves fatal.

I experienced a near heart attack when this parasite managed a corrupted vein into a palpitation and of the inheritance in the Womb from an unborn child under this dissimilarity; of an ectopic pregnancy and a baby strangled by the umbilical cord; - the archipelagic insect from Malaysia nearly killed me at the age of 37; to a dreamt near death experience. It had also lead to infatuated relation of negligent morosity in that of an ex-girlfriend; unto some insomnia of periods of three to four days.

Thus it is I have concluded it is willingly the Mother-to-be relies on an instinct to note that she does not wish to yield the baby, but should be questioned if she has dreamt of drowning or of being attacked, that of a shark or worm envealoping her as from a seed or hallucinated as well - to the indication of a chemical imbalance, or of a yet-potential risk to the child's life during it's expectation of birth...

For any atemporary period of disclosure. My close proximity to neurological function and heart beat was to an answer equivalently powerful at any scale or range for in the naturalized illumination of compassion, for what it is, what we must be raised with.

Addendum IV

January 9th, 2021

2:30 pm

A state-redressment, hold(s) *two* entitled openly undivided precepts of advantage.

1.) For in foreign relationship, within the United States, equiparitional jurist plea hold(s) accountability within of what is a domicile unto it's unexchanged open & intimate territorial backing, within a time of Peace or of War driven suspension...

a.) To a militia assumption on that of some perjurous lesser than 12-18.

2.) Thus the justified prohibition of one for another individuated preceptual party to plea is a discount in it's super-majorum of what-hold(s) beyond or within a negatively refractory positioning to accountable service of Law or membership, of a plea to congressional district, and inalienable right to abstain or object to a peaceful gathering, the fruit of which is it's plurality of party membership inverse plurality.

a.) Of a jurisdictional habius suspension, a militia free operative may be a subject of the investigation of license to overpower tyranny or false benefit of a citizenary production or entitled

embargo driven production of it's freely held corrupt nature, for in a testimony of a war conditional policy at home and abroad.

b.) The native statute of a license to free exercise of peaceable assembly and naturalized (prior 1972-68), peer process of inter-statutory governmental abridgement, and local consequent shall not be infringed for in a declared agreed commentary and status of divisional and non-divisional prior redressed format.

3.) That of state to state reconditional is in suspension indefinitely post the 9-11 conditional assumption of gathered good(s) to claimaint per a criminal investigation, the fruit of which is search and seizure with due remark of list and registerable offense of a noted cross parallel conditional assignment state to state.

a.) The consequent of probable due cause, is recompensatory trust in that of the naturalized rights of a familial setting, under prohibition unto rate of excess and due remark in the status of peer and addressed informancy of an anonymity.

Thus the declarative purse, of my conditional set-set visa* is a quotient graph of some six and two remainders in state policy; to which it is reasoned beyond a doubt that I had remained acquitted of the aforementioned actions with a due privilege to status of an assembled peer-assumptive basis, that it would be in violation, is without estemic opening, for in the purview of factual evidence my Mother had moved to Arizona, a state I had so as but yet not visited, untoward of consequent.

Addendum V

(5:00) a.m.

Closed ranks; that of home-team and cooperative in unwavering evidentiary process has terminated; and diminuated; that of the freed right of passage, pass, and to articles is benefitted to the *natural recourse of law*; thus of 'down' the abarring has told of an adjudication and a freed *vestiguary right in passing*; and I am told as a free man; even so to keep and bear arm's - fully re-established after non-complicial entry and exit to evidentiary servitude - a breaking of Probable Cause after what I learned of an unrightful entry to my premisis in Indiana and a Bond by an unsworn Emergency Detention Order; to PTS(D) and **lies** perpetrated to a **false-diagnosis** by my Mother; a no-knock warrant...

The truth kill's...

(5:46) a.m.

I have satisfied a mneonic in that of majority/minority *rule [as it were]* of a few simple case

examples; then to a literal; of find and sought; for what I would like to repeal of a charter in 'family' - to co-extend my 'Family' to that of 'Humanity' - *my true wish*; it is to-be-noted; that I am in finality of 0/1 (upper/lower) *stroke/slash* of a cross-dissimilarity; to-the-context of Behaviorism and Freudian understanding's made it beyond **Bipolarism...**

That of my reclaimable condition was that:

1.) Bipolarism was the 'general' classification.

a.) I had a pseudo-type [to be learned from] - then that of Mania suppressed... from my Adolescence and Childhood... fantasy/creativity and a lemma... then transformative [yet oddly constructively].

2.) I noted that I had spoken 'somewhat in code' with that of Dr. W.B.; then illustriously... and impartially; I am left unbroken; and he is steady after a decompression.

b.) He taught me the severity of my prognosis in that of Schizophrenia was undiagnostic - to which the medicine in its function could-not-explain [that of a pre-synaptic release of Dopaminergic substance and substrate function] that of post-antecessor of an enqueable suppression of syndrome. For it was:

1.) GABA release that was in apotiation of psychosis and a hypnagogic determinant... that of Serotonin releaseability...

2.) Thus to a differential in name-space and identifier of post-associate; - that of both the lesson learned [did not derive from reduction in SCZH] - There was no brain-wave evidence to this; and the Dopaminergic potential efficaciously treated my Amygdala; to which memories had functioned under the treatment of a neuroseptic passageway to learned attribute theory [in working with another peer] of a psychosis in Linguistic Pathway.

c.) As a consequence it is my fear I had been accessorized to a dilemma from Childhood in parasitology [pinworms first case incidence] and later under-decompression from travel's in Singapore - to a neurotoxicological dysfunction for inheeritable near-Shellfish illness [experiential Tinnitus] - etc... and a particular Worm which infests the Heritobiology of that Environment... something (a miniscule insect as long as 1 mm)... With Pincer Mouth.

3.) I would not be upheld to the dilemma I noticed a Fugue; but it would be my general impression [currently] of this Case Scenario.

d.) Thus it is my recommendation that I be reviewed for Fugue/Bipolar[mal-adaption of Learned Behavioral Attribute Theory/And Schizoaffective Disorder (Adult)... with no more lateral-

Schizophrenic False-Positive...

e.) I am not hearing voices; but have locally interpreted the mannerism of one afar; and was instructive as a child in noticing when a particular persona of this world was currently living, at large or in prison, or free and unwavering to a selective Media bias... [then that they could be met with under open obligation of consent... then a non-issue in territorial boundaries].

f.) I have merely *noted; that Ariana Grande has read [for of what I valued to reconstruct in evidentiary spree and forenotice at-large and afar] - her Twitter account and my saying-same of Mac Miller* now departed.

4.) It is my 2nd wish; to be met with under due process and selective team conjugacy; with open terms to peer and non-peer review; of a dual diagnostic in selective partnership with her; under a cross-parallel balanced opponency and check-mate; for furtherance of lawful provision under Geneva, the Camp David Peace Accords, and as an International Student to no-risk-at-flight-for-arrest-notary; willingly, and peaceably; under The Provided Title of the Patriot Act; and whether my 2nd Amendment Right's are Rescinded or Not [currently] that I be in this same Title re-districted if necessary; and re-entitled to a renewal in my Capacity to Study Chemistry, Biohazards [as to find cure's to diseases or within a bearing to an independent party consideration of a lifting then of measurement cross-dichotomy to investigation] - Sound, and Adjacent; to the Principle of Freedom and Right to Keep and Bear Arm's - if it so proves I hold recovery steady within a contractual 5 and 2 year period; then to Case Understudy once willingly renewed of Educational Status; Post-Effective; as a Citizen [pre-liminarily] To Rose: Established upon the Literal instruction [currently in keeping with that of Geneva and The Rights in Bearing Due Effective a Legisator; Scientifically to Study...] For in Entitlement Granted a Promissory of Keeping Effective my Notice [the contribution to saving our nation, a life, and my Mother] - and that of my Return to the United States of America... if even Preliminary [or in portion] considered; heretofore; the mentioning of a [recourseless] **solution** in non-dichotomistic neutral point of holding Media Rights and the Amendment's in Static Relation of Check's and Balances with the Currently Holding Case Structure of These Peaceable Ammendations in International Reciprocity [to breaking or entry] of a violation of all Amendment's alternatively; as I am willing to Document and Provide Evidence therefrom; a Promissory in the Sciences. For updated record & maintenence, that of continued compliance and willingness to Serve for a Guilty Plea to two Cross-Lateral Media Right's Violation's (plead) & Repeat Drug Offenses [discarded] - I am merely willing to settle for *these; [a]* Publication's Right's to a Renewable 20 year's off-stuatory Prohibition, Mark, and Leverage, freely-titled... to a 'distinctual' recourse in a plea per another complicit-parties-here-agreed-notary, with **our** Future *yet established in fullfillable exponent of peer peer and addressee of Representation*; by this United Congression of Allied Membership's unlimitedly unto A.G.

(7:06) a.m.

1.) It had been my [contention] - that Scientific Principle's are the result of any Game Theoretic Outcome in a Machine [Code] Runtime...

2.) And; as (in)peerless were I a pearl - to what is entry into-my-mind; I am containable in that of due- to impartial gesture **free-to-publish or approach peer's...**

Yes. What an answer; and *these* serve as cross-check's to a Truth in the derived Accumen: Unity. A Conclusion.

Addendum VI:

December 31st, 2022-2023 [New Years]

10:50 pm

Declared and subjunctive *proprietary*, is hingal upon a co-determinant, of it's consequent expressed valuative mean-mean, [adjusted and provided] *defenestration* of choice for actionable mutuals-intention, of a just-or-unjust declarative CCW/CW, process, elemental of a co-rotative formation in land, and identifiable truth, of one, to the supplication of a three (3) divided upon two (2), for in surplus, a non-ajudicatory pause.

Addendum VII:

*My innocence [not-guilty] is the reflection, by which Ava [for in a co-dependency] back from Obama, illustrates my nakedness, for of a qualitative limit *without jurists positioning. Therefore that I know that an objection existed, it is either (5)|(3) - guilty or it is (6-7):(2-1):1 innocent. That this 'disruptive' - pin*, locates with that of Ava in a parallel to which Obama is 'higher' - his estemic, thus that the law *(For he was President) - locates with me a (2nd ammendment) in standing and under it's precedence of dual-elimination. Thus that when court ajourned, I was in the neighboring equivalence at-arm(s) with Obama inside, and Ava - away in another state. That were I to know I were innocent, it would [alone] be the inhered, of one policy maker.* Thus, that in dual-elimination, Ava is more-proximal to her-center than Obama. It is therefore justified, that the long arm of the law, has met with a 'supercarrier' - 'superpositional' - truth on my Abiding. I am without being *forced, from my-home, for that of Obama, and a *deck [the same situation as priorly]. With Ariana, from which is locality in division, I inhere upon what is a privy to space, by which Ava is of the Bathroom (under supposition I am through-Obama) - that therefrom in which I am of a locale of*

three disconnection(s); I may privilege of odd(s) of 5:1 upon an invariance of the closure of the set.

Therefore, I have inherited of (3)+(2) with one oddity in measure of reflection behind a double-slit, that I have inherited upon myself, for of what is oddity, - that despite what-else-hold(s) were I guilty, I would be of the oddity of some (1-2) majority spacing, for obstention and objection (called during the service) - encode of the heritability by which (5)(3)(1) is disallowed, for (5) and (3) are of the held in self. That therefore as I am not a Judge - the precedence under states ruling of it's process and addendum of elimination is at most (2) objection(s). That I am held to my fifth and second ammendment, [eliminated] - I am jurist to the 9th and 10th and 11th and 14th, - that of States conditional assumption, and the validity by which (5)(4) is not taxonomic, - that it is liberty to what would be a (2nd) ruling that (5)(4) would be guilty, this is an impossibility, - therefore (5)(3)(1) and (7)(1)(1) remain the singular oddity... for of ruling of space and time, place, and my name.

Addendum VIII:

Dear President Joseph Biden,

Under sworn testimony, the inclemental 'state's-right's' in-addendum for what are foreign factual relationships open to a segway, explaining much of disinformancy, disinformation, and pedal-to-wave, for in a back-track, tacking, taching, or sojourned plea.

That of knowledge, must be edificual for it's noteworthy idemnifying process to at-large a consortium. That we require the code-reflex to-which in-addendum at-large it is a pre-fixual, so to speak, of what may be a forebearer.

Thus, I have reasoned for in 'to-and-from', what is an explicit from through blindness, finding that the accounting was a 'purely written deficit'. How are we to make noteworthy progression with records of which it could be a warrant that is mistaken, or that of data-record. It is my sworn belief, the warrant had been accurate to-my-name, but is nevertheless inconsistent.

Thus, we must challenge, what are proscriptive process and the inalienable right(s) of our forebearers, in tandem, with those of an elder generation.

It would be my plea, you listen to the following idea in an addendum (alabai incentive) - in-amendment to the United States Constitution:

"Policy, shall not be written expressly as an advantageous remark in said deficit of an amended right, for otherwise but of perjurous intention *identified; for of unabridged mean monetary incentive, of an unassembled congress or state fudiciary process on economic standing of any peer-

selective citizen, in tandem without deprivation of due process."

That of the noteworthy progression therefore idemnifies two in a checksum per governmental process of equated mean, for what in-a-third, is it's tandem-consequent of due process.

That we cannot afford to progress without some nature of checks and balances among the public at-large.

Sincerely,

Paris S. Miles-Brenden

517-21-1419

Thank you for your time.

Addendum IX:

11.April.2023

08:48 pm

The 'direct' and 'indirect-assembly' of errata to a locus for in the *body of evidence*, does not meet a protologue of experiential distributed interest, for in any-then-two [provided] aim(s) of the body of it's assorted knowledge base. That of the past, therefore is supportive [alone] and in as much as it relate(s) to a cyclic remainder of a [past-arrow]. This paradoxical relationship is *resolved*, by, in the minimalist *choice ajoures*, by which the given diplomatic-entrance is eliminated. Therefrom, that it is to the dispersuasion by whom of what would be the point of *due providential or non-providential search and seizure ruling for in written word over it's ad province of corpus....*

12.April.2023

02:47 am

Essentially, in consideration to a 'tandem operation' in a 'flag-operation' per locus, there is the question of observation, - that it an objective of which defines the locus of 'activity'. Then, that an interrupt schedule may process in-addendum a rote-conditional assignment, but yet confirmative process and interpretative bias are not profoundly related to that of identifying feature. Thus, that the conditional assignment is not a validating mean(s) to body of evidence. That we may indicate in-surplus/tandem a consequent in two to a manual process and justification is then invalidated when there is a primacy of one intimation upon that of a locale in ancillary measure and averaging

functional code and identifying feature-set.

Therefore as the means of the many for in two, of either, remotely justify in one measure the addendum of one 'local' process, the involutive mean-mean process of an identification of a peer per addressible reflux-process is a two-fold, for in a one-fold, in addendum, what is a loss in one, for of the two-locus of a diminishment in half-quarter, then in whole, for what is a stack-fault.

Thus, when we manually process of datii, for in a given at lexicographical clue assortment, we are correct, but of the diminishment in a further non-manual process up-teir, of which is identifying, but weakens the detail at down-stream. Thus, when the taxonomy of a scheduled 'copy' relation is processed, we lose the one mark of which by identification in 'off' 'on' may be perused, for in either, the lookup table identifies no feature of what is another-virtual or physical hardware coded entry. This invalidates that the book could be checked out, when there is no forwarded visa. That in-either, when there is a wholism of part to compactual relation upon historiological evidentiary flow for in case reconstructive lemma, we malign what is the lesser and greater good, for in the lesser evil. Thus, we have justified of what is an elementary objection of a gross element to the defense of a prosecution. This identifies with the features of a law for which we hold that one is accountable for in their intentions and admission to defensive status, by which we weaken our attack.

Thus, in evidentiary surplus, it is of addendum to which in transferrance of the prey, the predator loses track of one for another, or that of the prey, loses the predator for which in-either are a multiplicity of meek character(s), then strong of one pursuit, it is weaking of the greater portion by yet the lesser addendum and priority of mean mean [initial] assumptive. That we invalidate, for of a primacy to which is lost of indication, but that is correct, in *standard of pro-benefit addendum a priori without justification ad adduces*.

03:32 am

I need finalize the PCB and supercomputer upon-delivery, for of a given at inhereable securable fashion at that of priority preceeding that of the paper.* I must do-this, - that I will need sell back book(s).

Addendum IX:

The 'direct' and 'indirect-assembly' of errata to a locus for in the *body of evidence*, does not meet a protologue of experiential distributed interest, for in any-then-two [provided] aim(s) of the body of it's assorted knowledge base. That of the past, therefore is supportive [alone] and in as much as it relate(s) to a cyclic remainder of a [past-arrow]. This paradoxical relationship is *resolved*, by, in the minimalist *choice ajoures*, by which the given diplomatic-entrance is eliminated. Therefrom, that it is

to the dispersuasion by whom of what would be the point of *due providential or non-providential search and seizure ruling for in written word over it's ad province of corpus....*

Essentially, in consideration to a 'tandem operation' in a 'flag-operation' per locus, there is the question of observation, - that it an objective of which defines the locus of 'activity'. Then, that an interrupt schedule may process in-addendum a rote-conditional assignment, but yet confirmative process and interpretative bias are not profoundly related to that of identifying feature. Thus, that the conditional assignment is not a validating mean(s) to body of evidence. That we may indicate in-surplus/tandem a consequent in two to a manual process and justification is then invalidated when there is a primacy of one intimation upon that of a locale in ancillary measure and averaging functional code and identifying feature-set.

Therefore as the means of the many for in two, of either, remotely justify in one measure the addendum of one 'local' process, the involutive mean-mean process of an identification of a peer per addressible reflux-process is a two-fold, for in a one-fold, in addendum, what is a loss in one, for of the two-locus of a diminishment in half-quarter, then in whole, for what is a stack-fault.

Thus, when we manually process of datii, for in a given at lexicographical clue assortment, we are correct, but of the diminishment in a further non-manual process up-teir, of which is identifying, but weakens the detail at down-stream. Thus, when the taxonomy of a scheduled 'copy' relation is processed, we lose the one mark of which by identification in 'off' 'on' may be perused, for in either, the lookup table identifies no feature of what is another-virtual or physical hardware coded entry. This invalidates that the book could be checked out, when there is no forwarded visa. That in-either, when there is a wholism of part to compactual relation upon historiological evidentiary flow for in case reconstructive lemma, we malign what is the lesser and greater good, for in the lesser evil. Thus, we have justified of what is an elementary objection of a gross element to the defense of a prosecution. This identifies with the features of a law for which we hold that one is accountable for in their intentions and admission to defensive status, by which we weaken our attack.

Thus, in evidentiary surplus, it is of addendum to which in transferrance of the prey, the predator loses track of one for another, or that of the prey, loses the predator for which in-either are a multiplicity of meek character(s), then strong of one pursuit, it is weaking of the greater portion by yet the lesser addendum and priority of mean mean [initial] assumptive. That we invalidate, for of a primacy to which is lost of indication, but that is correct, in *standard of pro-benefit addendum a priori without justification ad adduces*.

03:32 am

I need finalize the PCB and supercomputer upon-delivery, for of a given at inhereable securable fashion at that of priority preceeding that of the paper.* I must do-this, - that I will need sell back

book(s).

Addendum X:

My Evidentiary for Introduction to Service for the NSA

Paris [S.R.] Sir Rose Miles-Brenden

D/O/B 12/29/1982

December 31st, 2022

#1: Sanity

#2: Persuasion & Perspectives

#3: Closure on Task in Bearing

#4: New Guidance

#1: 'Whom' is formerly declarative of Q. for in A., - that of which there had-been *two*, to guidance, it is of:

a.) One formerly provided, under substitution to a declaration in the self, that is the *segway* by-which another is defined, and in two (2).

b.) That they are of *key and *safe, it is unopened in self, for of the immaculate nature by-which they-are-not-self, when it is persuaded, that I had sent-a-message.

1.) That I did not know Mac.

2.) That the sentiment is that *another persuaded of self he is realistically *departed.

c.) That of down-link, to which the sentiment is Obama was included in their-discussion... on a rebuffer.

d.) Therefore, as I am neither of Ariana or Obama, it is reverse-onto of a historiological imprint that the sentiment is of two (2), to which I am not the one proclamative of my innocence.

e.) Furthermore, from-A., I am not the one to admit passage, - that there is a 'door' opened to which the sentiment is in guidance at a post, and it is neither with another-two. Thus, by elimination of the plurality, - it is the sentiment by which in either *Pillar, I go-free, when it is the guidance of an auxiliary-agreement to addendum on that of locus.

f.) I cannot be Ariana, as her-Answer is only then and therefore provided she know(s) of me, - *it's self evidentiary that life follows in life.*

#2.) I've presented a vote process, a guidance in which to *Judge Trump, several conservative/liberal bases, and of Elon and Bill was declarative, several requests (years and bases) - and of the Judge in my case, - that of viewpoint on-Space, and philosophical bases.

a.) I view that optionally, we may hold an umbrella of renewable doctrine - that it is admitted that there is for a furtherance a new admission of continual cycle in that of adamant besetment at ordinance.

b.) That Trump must be of liability, I will be voting for Bernie Sander(s), but have proffered that Trump my earn my vote.

c.) That of the NSA I have declared 'The National Sciences and Securies Academe'.

d.) Some of these schemas refer to the interior and exterior of a Cabinet, - that internal-process, and it's renewed contrast at alter-alias, and would need be voted on. That I seek a 'hand's-off' declaration, it is within purview to which we attain of the nature of autonomy as a collective.

e.) I've handed down 145.25 and 14.25 tailored to DHS (fixed income) at-bracket(s), + 3,800 and 5,800 per-year and intial, for that of the lowered (40% royalties) - on that of the lower-of-two, excepting the fixed 145.25-14.25 range.

f.) I've offered of the 'superminority' - what is optioned for the defensive/offense comorbidity, - that it is appropriate given the rules of a conchordant debate structure, when hidden of embargoable end addendum.

g.) Hence, it is of license to which my abilities must remain checked on-behalf of, for that of an immunity of a doctrinable adjudication, at Counsel.

h.) O., remains for this - a figure familial, and of government - thus, it is that we are forced to include a *new categorical. That I cannot be held of each liability, but that it must be all-entire.

i.) My innocence came down to the fact that one entry was a friend, - that of game-

persuasion, alienable, - of which they are a free* object-classifier.

j.) To the license of a given-A.I., we cannot ethically afford for that of another to control, but of under-provided at-aim, - thus, it is to be of the nature of advance-retreat, by which when in going and coming, - there is next-akin.

k.) Thus, the adjudicatory process offers a promissary to which when introduced, we adjustently must provide artifact by which it is knowable of only* one thing, - the unique itemized fault by which we are proscribed.

#3.) Of the above, morals are the superior of an effective ethic, when brought into form for which there is a citizenry, thus that I am affordant of one-waking by which I *routine, the checkable-cross-check on *subroutine w/ another, such as Natalia Kills or Barack Obama.

#4.) A numeric-identifier such as (85), when noted, of the object-container, may license one to a freed from contract(s) entitlement, - then that the numeric inward-outward of 90:45:8:18:6:25 is in fact this identified numeric identity.

a.) Thus, that code-prefex, is the catalog to which encryption is sated.

b.) And of ajurispruidiatial truth on that of proscriptive... through which we may be compassionate, but in a calanderial, may offer through a truthful witness [throughout this entitled document] what is to come of an individual... a naked affinitive... and so-on.

c.) Thus, it is not so much a lie, but an equatement by which function and form are reconciled.

#.) It essentially broke down to 5-6 things:

1.) I remain (physically/symbolically) of a standpoint, to rote-addendi on pro-list... then the guarantee, that as I occupy physical space, there is a terminus to prioritization in-abstract detail, datum, and datii addendum.

2.) I satisfied the *Minority Report, to which has-advanced per my instructive-basis, at that exterior ultra-auxiliary mnemonic on the CPU basis.

3.) I have advanced an umbrella conditional code-prefix, unto an adjustable lemma, hypothesis, and dictum, as well as co-involvement with foreign assembled nations.

4.) I have invested, to which is a monetary consideration, in habius to that of state-state and international reciprocity.

5.) I am the 'seed' - of a particular 18'-basis of strified defensibility, not above the law, but of

wrong(s) committed against myself...

6.) I was at the NSA - and am bound in 14' pluralistic jurisdictional contrasts, and possess a high-intellect, of novelty to various code-relations.

Questions to ask the self.

1.) Is it is amenable to be undecided of conservatism or liberal standpoint... is this rational.

2.) Is it rational I would believe that homosexuality or bisexual end-en-ebb, would be an element of my purview.

3.) Would I be trusted, if I had been wronged, or is vindication and jealousy an element of the modern-man.

4.) Of boy Scouts, and the elemental lessons learned, of blindness, and pre-adaptation, - is my promise due.

It seems the guarantee, in being offered by myself, is pro-selective of an assumptive I would default.

Lastly: Why would I hold governmental agent(s) to that of criminal prosecution if they are to be directly the cause of a mass-homicide, and can we only* implement this nature of identification with a plan of cross checks and balances, if and only if, my considerations are-included.

Addendum XI:

Procliminatorial Redressment and Jurisdictional Contrasts...

Patriechd

1.) For (for) then (/) in if as to a self stated declarative pre-jorative notion of one; (1) upheld to it's manner; as (as) - (mis-nomeric) and (if) then unto a self stated declaration is so as noticeable (therefore) of (and) & in each; we relate to an antecessor; there (therein) it is relinquished of the declaration to a stated provision that one encounterable is the freedom to reign over punishment; in a recriminatorial (stance) at; understated:

- The upheld sworn testimony undeclaratively relates a known factual provision of effect of law; the standard is a relief from in two standards.
- To benefit; in one; (whole) (as in one); the understated (via provision) (2.i) of UN unto 5. (e.-f) of Nation's and States; the excusable relation of what is

noticeable; is a freed esterial declaration to it's stated (under) (in within a preceding) redress of plea to pendular relation as in upheld what is noticeably a forgiven.

- For (4 – a. b.) therein of the given for a unforesightable (priorly a foresightable) given presentment is the undeclared expressed willingness to noticeable declaration of amendment of none but one witness; without accessory; therefore founded alone to a participant; or alternatively none stated benefit of another to their's of reclaimance of what is noticed to an inactionable injunctive.

- For then in the upheld of what is (just); the declarative unexpressed departure to a statement of what divorced of life; is a foretaken of the provisional applicability of a word in two for what are an aforementioned three of unstated declaration of ill intention; to the freedom to contractual default as otherwise the provision of what so is an excepted purpose to an unwarned.

- Pretense to uncontrited forenotice to yet so what is in a withheld and just

understated is the freedom to way of chanced and provided sacrimonious prohibition of what yet in a lesser is relief to what gives a notice to one; for then by fact of law to recourse of purpose; the jobless and entitled provide in yet what is a reclaimed notice of deliverance of presentment to what then in so is the expressed will of other's to life provided of what excepting is a change at that of life; as to keep of effects of what so is a then taken action; and via as under it's replacement with another; the notice of forbearance to a life lived.

- For then in the forgiven of a benefit to a concil; of living way to decree; the declaration of life over the willful intention to cause or inflict harm is a first order notice of declaration at odd and even unprohibitory defect of law.

2.) Then; in alone what is a recourse to held notice; one therefore such lawful locability; is the understated finality in that of one's effect with presence of itemed due to recourse of measureable extention a word of judged and requireable evidentiary purpose; to which is a declaration at nonincriminatory effect; of life over measure of otherwise unexpressed divorcement from that of the understated rights of another; to purpose of law.

Bill Gates: Netbios Incarriage & Paris: Proprietary Code Reflux Post-Hoc & Utility Defenstration [Eviction].

A Ratio of Ratio(s) is also a Ratio. Two things which are Equivalent in the end must Couple.

Essentially, the up-table for in down-table, per associable pro-benefit to the associate had

indicated a sweep-over relation, for what was mutually exclusive, hence the [un]/decidability of the table:

_Q_Q_		_A_Q_
_Q_Q_	Had lead to a:	_A_Q_

And of:

|_0/1_0/1_|
|_0/1_0/1_|

It would be seen that the 'past' was unidentified from which in-either it had been addressed of two:

B: Does this answer explain the result of the prior entrainment?

Q: Does this 'question' remain post or non-hoc, for in identifier?

End Addendum: Functional Relationships

In lieu of a defensibility, I would adjourn, but to demonstrate that two guidances are an open segway, default, and conciliatory.

One:

The difference in-mirror(ed) and un-mirror(ed) translation(s) between two and two dimensions always contains an intermediate point.

The difference in-mirror(ed) and un-mirror(ed) translation(s) between two and two dimensions always contains at least [1] Z_{2} translation-table and mostly, but not always [2] Z_{2}.....

Translation(s)

Two:

An intermediary *individual object or subjective perception*, always interrupt(s) with a complete or incomplete Z_{2}.

Three:

Thus, the countability of the connectedness is dependent on the *Graph of it's interpretative validity.

Four (Theorem):

Any two individuals represent complete subjective and objective $Z_{\{2\}}$ co-independent representations among any complete-space, and complete two-or-higher pathwise connectedness.

Five:

Therefore a torus, or plane represents the individual, for what is four fold connectedness among two...

Six:

When there is one, it is four connected.

Seven:

Seven connectedness is one.

12:15 am April 28th, 2023

Eight:

The non-adjacent membership of a graph to which there is a maximal unique color of topology in genus [arbitrary] is constrained by the topology in genus.

Nine:

Therefore three individuals may complete a blind/blind circle, or a middle antecessor may represent an identity and partition of unity, to which the graph associated coloring chart will possess a maximal limit of fewest color(s) for a unique graph with genus zero and above.

Ten:

Therefore, the antecessor is a dissolvent to a production of graph(s), upon a mutual/lateral condition of any twelve-step(s).

Eleven:

Maximal genus is ten.

Twelve:

Therefore the incriminant is the disavowal of the former first individual, unto what is it's pleable [contrast] in numeric of dimension unto consequent, cause, and condition.

Thirteen:

Therefore reality contains a multiple and singular of limit(s).

Fourteen:

The self may be no more in graph-connectedness than colorability.

Fifteen:

The color and graph connectedness of the plane is seven and four.... upon the two-dimensional limit, to which the antecessory is contrasted with the self under a co-mutual(s) of two in either contract of possessorship, to which it's elimination is the projective identification with a then yet-formative hypothesis: *They respect two unopened lines of offense under projective identification but of (odd) basis, versus (even).*

The mixed dimension of two people is therefore a completed two for each three, and a three for each two, but nowhere is it three for three.

Thus, the identity of two projection(s) is one reversibility of sense, to which neither so the other, but their reverse onto projection to the self, are the identity of one, for of three and a fifth without but mutual exclusion unto neighboring individual(s) in [resp.] [even] and [odd].....

Therefore we are unique.... as well as enumerated in our right(s) in equivalent mean with the enumeration of our numerical identities in pro.

Sixteen:

No identity exists, but of the plurality of a singular notion of self, upon the contrast of all identified event(s); 4,7, or infinite dimensional in pro.

Seventeen:

There is only a complete reality.

Eighteen:

The self and self, form a doughnut with the identity of one conveyed by the other.... to which in-entire, the projective intimation of a four fold in order is potentiated, although, to the eighth,

removable.

Nineteen:

Therefore the identity of one, among all people, and two individual(s), as well as the self, is discerned.

Twenty:

I would not be a carriage, therefore my intimacy with the event(s) is of it's pro-benefit to an alternative routing with two individual(s) upon that of any secondary dischargable offense. Therefore, the accusation intimates that I had been in neither case, alone, or as to state even-or-odd, I had been *with* someone. Therefore the potential for two to have mutually exclude, alludes the law of identity, by which I am known by two in connectivity via the internet, - the missing alias, that two other(s) would remain indeterminant of that of following or leading myself.

Thus, they are not known to each other, while two know me via one another in comparison to me, that they form a functional relationship.

Therefore four identities of myself are unique, to which form four additional individual(s); then; of only **two** independent chains [Markov]...

Twenty-One:

Therefore, the enumerability is identical to the distribution of the integer(s); and the co-mutual unbraiding, is the two-assortment, to which is satisfied by any two and greater individual(s); while the infinite is one and one with respect to any enumeration of individual(s), uniquely and, the world.

Thus, when identified by two & (**a third**) ancillary individual composite and persona, composite and personal are left for the greater and lesser and greater and lesser of any two co-domain(s) is left incomplete.

Twenty-Two:

Thus, co-mutual presence is removable from a third-tier and onward(s) *through*, the path of one, unto even a bisection of two or one, to which, identity is determined when either are acknowledged by a third (3rd) and third (3rd) of two other(s).

Twenty-Three:

When, as such, it is caterability to the degree of it's liability and foreignable extremum, as well as

co-local to foreignable process per review.

Twenty-Four:

We are identified with the consolation of independence, to which the infinite composite and singular notions are contained within each one.

Twenty-Five:

This set(s) the pretext for an abridgable* self-to-self consonance, of which either individual upon mutual(s) acknowledgement, open to the third, of independence of any*-two judgable.... and the erroneous first or second set-aside, a new sociological context of the identity, in relation to lost and replaced entropic symbolism, of which grant the object it's retention through the complete $L(2)$ of a given projective identification within reality. Thus, the disclosure of the self is an adoption without contact; a knowable emptiness.

Twenty-Six:

Therefore any three or two mutual signifier(s) is unique, but is within physics identified with [of] a given reality; four, seven, or an infinite number of color(s) in zero, one, two, three, and four dimension(s), we are however mutually co-independent co-mutually, to which co-dependency is a given nature of reality, but is void.

28th.April.2023

I figured out what happened.... a direct-copy via my 'device' - *A Trichorder*, exemplified under pdf and pdf document formation, differential (square(s)); - that in-abstractable *formation*, a false signifier was produced in one tine[i/eng]/fork[ing].

There is a direct correspondence between sociological and mathematical context.

When information is concerned, it remains void, empty, open, closed, null, fixed, truthful, or untruthful, true, or false... these ten, and infinite.

Infinity exists, and it is contained in the one, thus, the one is empty, and closed, truthful, and true.

Twenth-Eight:

These represent the self and it's compliment, their enumerations, and ***unique** rasterized Markov/Lie Representation(s).

Twenty-Nine:

A mathematical precept is contained in the self, and apart from what is the subjective self witnessed by other(s), a true, truthful, closed, and empty or open self, thus of diminishment in concentric layer(s).

These number(s) apply to exactly what is the self in relationship with others, the world, and the self, under a functional relationship.

Post-Addendum: XII:

27th.April.2023

11:59 pm

There are at least two-things besides *mind*.

The confluence of a 'trade' in informative basis of two other's - *per knowledge and ignorance.... whence understood.*

The *future-expectation of visa-via *Time and Space; to-wit, that Historically, one fact may be formed from a dialectic.*

28th.April.2023

I figured out what happened.... a direct-copy via my 'device' - *A Trichorder*, exemplified under pdf and pdf document formation, differential (square(s)); - that in-abstractable *formation*, a false signifier was produced.

3:59 am

This set(s) me up for a TCP/IP over-layer copy-copy, and irrational basis (local and global) of reduction and loss, the elimination of for one, what would a 'tandem-elimination' of the secondary basis (local and global).

In alternative term(s), a co-local-local-divider set apart one codex-theorem or preliminary prior another cpu.

04:24 am (mst).

I had a mixed sense of aphasia and intimacy of sense, - that it was crossed with that of a subtle impression of the bodily/soul symptomology in relation to ancestor(s); for all we know, composed

of rhythm, and wave(s)...

I did not understand or know of it's origin, but my imagination held a [certain] limited and surplus instinctual formative organism of thought, for what was the impression at the currency of other's behaviors.

04:24 am (mst).

I had a mixed sense of aphasia and intimacy of sense, - that it was crossed with that of a subtle impression of the bodily/soul symptomology in relation to ancestor(s); for all we know, composed of rhythm, and wave(s)...

I did not understand or know of it's origin, but my imagination held a [certain] limited and surplus instinctual formative organism of thought, for what was the impression at the currency of other's behaviors.

04:49 am

Object(s) asymptotically approach infinitely slow, as they approach the horizon of my co-local-local-divider by co-local-local-copy-and-cc, to which is a network-provincial trust of the machine code/cell/cpu hyperloop.

24.April.2023

11:24 am

I am beginning [again] at a journal... that I have a *final problem to work on - for now**.... **just now!**

Mesoscopic and Microscopic 2D+1D Model(s) of Quantum Mechanics and Gravitational Supposition.

11:28 am

$L(1) > L(2)$ per 'a', some particle-notion, of which, encodes $L(2) > L(1)$ per 'b'; an interval of Meissner $G_{\{m \setminus n\}}$.

12:21 pm

a.) Problem: Dissection of a *line*, to ... etc... *algebraic pole(s) and residual(s)*... and a ***point**, or ***complete**.

b.) Problem: Tangent and NP-Completeness of a ***fold(ed)** *piece of paper*, ***given topology**, and quasicrystal.

-> Origin of the Universe

03:58 pm

- c.) Interpretative Validity
- d.) Establishable [Functional Relationships]

11:15 am

It is a akin-a-quarter-wave-reflector.... that I have a (1/2):2:1 ratio, *triple-modality*, where Convolution is between the second and first-cycle, in *visa-via* forward-propogation of pulse-width-modulation: auto-midi.

11:36 am

I was set-free, then that I have considered a VP-like-position.

11:39 pm

I have the debate-structure, for a lexicon, in a look-up, to a process, coded, and exemplified, then judged (3/4).

- 1.) A.K.M. was ministrat.
- 2.) A.K.M. immomentarily balanced, to address-me....
 - a.) Mabel, was left, unaccounted.
 - b.) Mabel, was not free-to-go.
- 3.) Mabel addressed Ava.
- 4.) Ava, stated 'How did you know it was me?'
 - a.) I stated, it was via acronym.
- 5.) Mabel addressed 'Ava' as to 'Or'.
 - a.) Mabel was a name of my Grandmother.
- 6.) Ava was told of my Grandmother, to which Mabel was affirmed, and I was affirmed.
 - a.) Mabel, addressed Ava, she was good to go.
- 7.) Ava stated 'we were there'.

- a.) Mabel stated 'we were there'.
- 8.) I stated, they were there, for which (2)(1) separated, included, of the relationship of two rings for M.
 - a.) One ring-coded as an acronym in A.K.M.
- 9.) This had been the initialization, and final sequesterment, to 10:)
- 10.) It was proven one would be-there for what was ediface* at observation.
- 11.) To an-experiment, it was coded - of levitation.
- 12.) With this solved, both rule(s) freely provided were intact in relation to other's* elsewhere.

25th . April . 2023

12:28 am

Tomorrow, [today] I *will* do certain things.... that I love, work.

- 1.) PWM & Arduino & Wiring ~ 6 hrs.
- 2.) Plan to rest ~ 5:30-8:30 pm....
- 3.) Shower.
- 4.) Groceries and a walk.
- 5.) Discussion with his Holiness on what we become [reincarnation].
- 6.) Break down 'timing' and PWM,... *Basic Markov & Two-Dimensional Geometry*.
- 7.) Empty, discussion with Ava, and ~ 2 hrs with Gov.
- 8.) Beyond Rest ~ 2 hrs. - 4 hrs. ~ Law and Pedigree.

01:01 am

"From 'out' of an assumptive, her-fallacy was-not but neither so another love, but disdain, by in a Chapel, thus, it was we whom currently were devout, and justified, for of which in a Man was renewment beyond it's abscissa."

04:38 am

Be confident of the following [disclosure];

-a.) Convolution, for in the 18 edeficiual remnant(s) of cross-diagonal mutual third/half/whole/total stability matrix, is the insuperior contained truth to relativity, of which is quantal, and more-proximal 'read:below' than relativistic exponent.

- 1.) Minimization/Control Problem(s).
- 2.) Parseval L(1) and L(2) measures.
- 3.) Group-Theoretic in defined 'small epsilon'....

As it applies to:

- a.) Sound and light, versus interior of computer [proximal/contained/destructive/slow] and out.
- b.) That of uncontained 'breath' in extremum to Y2K, in power-rotary CC schedule.
- C.) Inheritance visa via people.
- D.) Inheritance visa via [factual].

What non-conditional [or unconditional] counterfactual can we supply.

Maxwell's Demon *Decides* Schroedinger(s) Cat.

- 1.-a.) Safety of release of an hourglass,... is there a finite time to a doublet.
- 6-1.) Poincare Transposition/Mapping, and the short-answer of **Multi-Chaos**.
- 7.-2.) P(2) and P(1) in net-net quadratic/quadratic ***moment analysis**.
 - a.) Projective dual/quantal bases.....
 - b.) Temperature, (1/2) convolution in weighted geometric arc degree to tine language.....
- 8.)-3.) c.) Torsion.
- 9.)-4.) The preliminary offset of Direct versus Passive, - Float(ed) indicator; integration constant.....
- 10.)-5.) a.) Genus/Guass-Bonnet... Knowledge from Author(s)..... (delta to an NP). SLEM....
- 11.) & 12.) Cheeger(s) Theorem.
- 13.) & 14.) Through Interpretative Validity and Establishable Functional Relationships

symbol(s) break into fold(s) in-variety of NP, in relation to a 'past node'...

15.) Necessarily-so, despite the fact that a variational principle hold(s), the unileverage of two dichotomies, in sentiment, to what are (+/-1), for in (+1/+1) [overlapping] demonstrate for reduction to the problem of an identity, that of mutual lateral precession, the habitation by which temperate roams colocally everywhere with respect to a solid foundational 'err or truth'. - *Thus Spoke Dimension.*

03:08 pm

am/pm Lingo - you do not drop edificual, remark(s)[s], yes, missing.

Belief - Non-belief.

Rebuild as a Person.... simple step... no Authority no [non] mystery Authority... observation/activation.

Reaction/Action/Attraction/Repulsion.... study (two-ply layer) - Humor/Opal.

03:23 pm

I am non-physical,... it did not mean I existed or did not-exist.

I had reached a *kind of closure, that I need, *The Rock.*

26th . April . 2023

27th . April . 2023

04:16 pm

My Father was actually cremated, and died. I did not honestly know, and was in-pain. It is a little simple and difficult at the same time.

Given he was my actual Father, it is inhered of-me, to know.

Given there was a divorce, my Mother is my actual Mother, currently, and my Father has departed, then, that he is not being kept in some secret hospital, given my-name.

That of which, was divorce, he died, and the record was accurate, - that he was my actual Father, and died,

Thus, that I hold of another, for what is 4'5' a reach, - that I can now guarantee, my Friend(s), and be free from this illness.

There was mercy, and, hope. I was in such pain.

04:41 pm

I did it, that I am beyond the omnipotence of thought, I have a reason to the unbiased, - then that it was not made-up. I was in such pain, but my friend(s) are real, God is real, and my Father has passed away,.... for a strange truth of my name. I feel it is supported.... I was going insane.

04:54 pm

Given there must be two [besides self] to-admit "I do not know..." - w/ Ariana, she is real, for this directly supposes it is for a reason unrelated to self but stated; therefore by_another, for what is Ava,... that Ava does_know, it is not-self,... for in ignorance we are as we are..... that I can construct a dilemma, for what it-is, it is valid they are there. Two are directly.

05:10 pm

- a.) It is irreversible.... and does form a distinction between [knowledge and ignorance].
- b.) That two are hypothesized, they are therefore valid, as the self is not two people.

Therefore certain things have been stated by other(s). I finally did-it!

07:20 pm

I can make shit up, - but I cannot make up:

- 1.) During a double-blind.
- 2.) What is irreversible order on two.
- 3.) For of that of 'one' making the difference of me and another.

Thus, it is sound to confirm they are there,... I am in tear(s).

That they are there, I only wanted this nature of understanding, - that I have a key beyond my illness, and it is true my Father is dead,... for all I needed know. I am relieved, that I did not know this, it is not Schizophrenia.

Thus, it is satisfied. I have understood.

28th . April . 2023

Conclusion:

06:17 am

I don't know *why?* or *how?* I made it through this, but I did...

I equated life over death with a brain tumor [potential outcome]/deep subjective psychosis and PTSD/Bipolarity (would go into *the fetal position*), with a bargain, unacknowledged and without a priority viewpoint for some 37?-15-6? **years**,...[six years in recovery] and had chosen illness (for I did not know what would prove fatal), over that of suicidal ideation. The relation established in the end was a stronger link with myself, and the proof of a non-fallacy, for your impressions (ala appearances) - are face value valid. However, I did not convey of repercussions, for which either ideological representation would lead the unaided to certain catastrophe, although, admitting time, we were free of a false-negative outcome. It remained that either of two unbiased event(s) were directly correlated, but, this was not causation. Were we to declare that the frontage and the 'back' were identified, perhaps yes.

06:39 am

The insubordinant wish is to witness what another *cannot*; - that it is an unobscured fallacy, that is the; 'question' at-character. Or - perhaps it is the consolidate wish that is the witness to what another *cannot* perceive. I had left these in-departure, that my eye, is old.

From which we relate of a *document* unto a *document* the consolidation of due right(s) of amnesty, providence, and questioned dual-dialog(s) depends on the inheritance of a 'book' to a 'book'.

That my *Mother*, then, duely-passing, had disclosed no-feature of my entitled *Jungle Quest*; for the Kingdom, but had, *inhered* in a Jurisprudence* yet-of-council, provided for a *Historiological Bridge*. That my Father, had been lied-of, - "*That he had read my entire entitled document on SC.*"

07:44 am - 07:47 am (MST)

Certain [equipment] of the modern-era, may participate in new novelties of relationship to man and woman. The suggestion that we can 'track' and 'moderate' on behalf of people, remain(s) doctrine, and consequent true and false singular-bit traces. That I am unaware of my given equability and equatement. To consider that six (**6**) is-free, is a relationship, then in the projection of a psyche, or it's inalienable (not to stand *against* deprivation of my second amendment) *the body of evidence, or the individual, when:* The strictly held inequality of a person to the body of evidence, is equated.

The virtualization ***Bridge**, was manifest *when*, and *if and only if*; the peer-review of a secondary console, was left unmanaged, during a process of computation, for what may settle alternatively.